### Alternative Methods for Developing Bradley Burns and Special Taxing Jurisdiction Fees

## Development of the Bradley Burns (BB) and Special Taxing Jurisdiction (STJ) fees is a Two-Step Process

- Step 1 Allocate the total Sales Tax Program cost to the State, BB, and STJs.
- Step 2 Allocate the total BB and STJ cost determined in Step 1 to <u>each</u> BB or STJ jurisdiction.

# Step 1 - Allocating the total Sales Tax Program cost to the State, BB, and STJs

#### Existing Model

- The existing model identifies direct costs for BB and STJs. The remaining shared cost is allocated to the State, BB, and STJs based on revenue.
- Under the existing model, the 2004-05 allocation is:

```
State $ 204 million (72%)
BB $ 36 million (13%)
STJs $ 41 million (15%)
```

# Alternative 1 - Existing Base plus % change in Sales Tax Program Cost

- Under this alternative, the Estimated 2004-05 BB and STJ fees become the Base level. Each succeeding year the Base levels would be adjusted to reflect the % change in the Sales Tax Program cost.
- For new STJs, the Base level would be established as a percentage of revenue.

### Alternative 2 - Based on # of Permits

 Under this alternative, the cost of the Sales Tax Program is allocated to the State, BB, and STJs based on the number of permits and sub-outlets.

	# Permits
State	795,204
BB	1,048,413
STJs	1,469,198

## Alternative 2 - Based on # of Permits (cont. 1)

- The number of permits for BB is higher than the number of permits for the state because each taxpayer sub-outlet must be processed separately for BB.
- The number of permits for STJs is higher than the number of permits for the state because each STJ within the same city/county must be processed separately.

### Alternative 2 - Based on # of Permits (cont. 2)

 Using this approach, the Estimated 2004-05 allocation is:

```
State $ 67 million (24%)
BB $ 90 million (32%)
```

STJs \$ 124 million (44%)

#### Alternative 3 - Based on Revenue

- Under this alternative, the cost of the Sales Tax Program is allocated to the State, BB, and STJs based on total revenue received.
- Using this approach, the 2004-05 allocation is:

```
State $222 million (79%)
BB $37 million (13%)
```

STJs \$ 22 million (8%)

### Alternatives 4A, 4B, 4C - Based on cost by Sales Tax element

Each element of the Sales Tax Program is allocated on a pattern representative of that element's cost.

- The Registration element is allocated on Total Revenue
- The Audit element is allocated on Audit Revenue

## Alternatives 4A, 4B, 4C - Based on cost by Sales Tax element (cont.)

- The Collection element is allocated on Accounts Receivable revenue.
- The Returns element is allocated based on the ratio of the number of lines on the return that benefit the State, BB, and STJs. Three different approaches were considered.

### Alternative 4A - Shared Line Approach for Returns Element

 Under the Shared Approach, lines on the return specifically associated with the State, BB, or STJ workload are assigned to each entity. The remaining generic lines on the return are assumed to benefit all three entities and are allocated equally among all three.

### Alternative 4B - Marginal Line Approach for Returns Element

 Under the Marginal Approach, only those lines on the return specifically associated with BB or STJ workload are assigned to them. All remaining generic lines on the return are assumed to be State workload.

### Alternative 4C - Revenue Line Approach for Returns Element

 Under the Revenue Approach, lines on the return specifically associated with the State, BB, or STJ workload are assigned to each entity. The remaining generic lines on the return are assumed to benefit all three entities and are allocated to each based on total revenue.

### Alternative 4A - Based on cost by Sales Tax element (Shared Returns Line Approach)

Using this approach, the 2004-05 allocation is:

```
State $176 million (63%)
```

BB \$ 60 million (21%)

STJs \$ 45 million (16%)

### Alternative 4B - Based on cost by Sales Tax element (Marginal Returns Line Approach)

Using this approach, the 2004-05 allocation is:

```
State $206 million (73%)
```

BB \$ 45 million (16%)

STJs \$ 30 million (11%)

### Alternative 4C - Based on cost by Sales Tax element (Revenue Returns Line Approach)

Using this approach, the 2004-05 allocation is:

```
State $197 million (70%)
```

BB \$ 48 million (17%)

STJs \$ 36 million (13%)

#### Summary of Alternatives (%)

		% of Cost			
		State	BB	STJs	Total
	Existing Model	72%	13%	15%	100%
Alt 1	Base + Cost Change	72%	13%	15%	100%
Alt 2	Number of Permits	24%	32%	44%	100%
Alt 3	Total Revenue	79%	13%	8%	100%
Alt 4A	Element (Shared)	63%	21%	16%	100%
Alt 4B	Element (Marginal)	73%	16%	11%	100%
Alt 4C	Element (Revenue)	70%	17%	13%	100%

#### Summary of Alternatives (Cost)

	Existing Model
Alt 1	Base + Cost Change
Alt 2	Number of Permits
Alt 3	Total Revenue
Alt 4A	Element (Shared)
Alt 4B	Element (Marginal)

Alt 4C Element (Revenue)

	σοσε (ψ 1,000σ)						
S	tate		3B	S	TJs	T	otal
\$	204	\$	36	\$	41	\$	281
	204		36		41		281
	67		90	•	124		281
	222		37		22		281
	176		60		45		281
	206		45		30		281
	197		48		36		281

Cost (\$1 000s)

Step 2 - Allocating the total BB cost and the total STJ cost to each BB and STJ jurisdiction.

#### Existing Model

- The existing model allocates the total BB cost to each BB jurisdiction based on revenue.
- The existing model allocates the total STJ cost to each STJ jurisdiction based on various workload factors.

### Alternatives to allocate BB and STJ costs to each jurisdiction

- Alternative A Allocate both BB cost <u>and</u> STJ cost to jurisdiction based on Revenue.
- In the past, the STJs were also allocated on revenue. However, the Auditor General recommended using workload factors.

# Alternatives to allocate BB and STJ costs to each jurisdiction (cont. 1)

 Alternative B - Allocate BB <u>and</u> the STJs to jurisdiction based on the number of Permits.

# Alternatives to allocate BB and STJ costs to each jurisdiction (cont. 2)

 Alternative C - Allow BB and STJ local authorities to determine how their total cost should be allocated among their jurisdictions.

## Detail of Alternatives to allocate cost to <u>each</u> BB and STJ

BB STJs

**Existing Model** 

Alternative A

Alternative B

Alternative C

Revenue	Workload Factors
Revenue	Revenue
Permits	Permits
BB Input	STJ Input

#### SUMMARY

- Changes to the existing model would require a change in the law.
- Some alternatives result in significant changes to the cost associated with the State, BB, or STJs.
- With over 50 new STJs on the November ballot, a simpler fee structure is desirable.

#### SUMMARY (cont.)

- Additional proposed alternatives will be considered until October 5, 2004.
- Recommendations to the Board will be presented at the November 4, 2004 Board meeting.
- The final report is due to the Chair of the Joint Legislative Committee on December 1, 2004.